

ASYNCHRONOUS HIDDEN REFRESH OF SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY

Related Applications

[0001] This is a continuation of and claims priority from U.S. application number 09/976,115 filed October 11, 2001.

Copyright Notice

[0002] © 2003 Cascade Semiconductor Corporation. A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material which is subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure, as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent file or records, but otherwise reserves all copyright rights whatsoever. 37 CFR § 1.71(d).

Technical Field

[0003] This invention is in the field of semiconductor integrated circuit random access memory devices and, more specifically, is directed to “hiding” refresh operations of a DRAM array to faithfully emulate an SRAM-type interface.

Background of the Invention

[0004] In an asynchronous SRAM, there are generally three modes of operation: read, write, and standby. In read mode, a change of input address signals the start of

a read operation. Sufficient time, referred to as “read cycle time,” must be allowed after the change of address for the read operation to complete. If the time allowed is less than the minimum read cycle time, the read operation is aborted, known as an invalid read. The consequences of an invalid read are that the output data is invalid, but the content of the memory is unaffected. Write operations are triggered by the assertion (typically active low) of external signals W# (write-complement or “write-bar”) or CS# (chip select-complement or “CS-bar”). Write operations that last for less than a predetermined “write cycle time” are not allowed.

[0005] Accessing and refreshing DRAM arrays is a different matter. Unlike SRAM cells, reading of a DRAM cell is destructive, as it discharges the selected storage capacitors. After each read operation, therefore, the sensing amplifier has to write the data back to the cell to restore the cell content. Every read operation must be completed in this fashion or else cell contents will be lost. In contrast, an SRAM read operation can be aborted at any time without destroying cell content.

[0006] DRAM cells also need to be refreshed periodically due to storage cell leakage. A refresh operation is a dummy read operation, where the cell is read and its data written back. If a cell is not refreshed for a specified period of time (refresh interval), it will lose its data content. A typical refresh interval at this writing is on the order of 64 milliseconds. Static RAM (SRAM) has no refresh requirement but is relatively large (less dense) and consumes more power than DRAM per bit.

[0007] Various DRAM and SRAM designs are known in the art. A number of attempts have been made to make DRAM appear to work like SRAM, but these efforts have been only partially successful. One example is disclosed in Leung et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,999,474 for “Method and apparatus for complete hiding of the refresh of a semiconductor memory.” The apparatus includes a multi-bank DRAM memory and an SRAM cache that stores the most recently accessed data. Each access is stored in the SRAM cache. When there is a cache hit, the DRAM bank is not accessed, allowing time for the DRAM bank to be refreshed. The size of the SRAM cache is determined to guarantee sufficient refresh rate. This method, however, due to its complexity, can only be implemented in a synchronous design, where an

external clock is present. It would be extremely difficult or impossible to implement this method in asynchronous design.

[0008] “UtRAM” (Unit transistor RAM) is produced by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. The product datasheet (part # K5Q6432YCM - T010) indicates that it uses a DRAM memory core, with refresh hidden from the external interface. The interface is similar to that of an asynchronous SRAM, but still clearly incompatible with asynchronous SRAM. The datasheet documents two flaws that make it incompatible with SRAM, namely:

[0009] 1. When invalid read operations occur continuously, the internal refresh operations cannot be performed, resulting in data loss.

[0010] 2. When write operations occur continuously, the internal refresh operations cannot be performed, resulting in data loss.

[0011] The implementation details of “UtRAM” have not been disclosed publicly. In any event, the product does not completely “hide” refresh from the external interface as noted above. In other words, Samsung UtRAM imposes timing restrictions on the external interface beyond the usual SRAM requirements. Consequently, the UtRAM and similar products cannot provide a fully pin-compatible substitute in an SRAM-interface application.

[0012] Fujitsu offers a product called “FCRAM” (Fast-Cycle RAM) -- a pipelined DRAM core design. Its interface resembles that of asynchronous SRAM. However, it appears from the published datasheet that FCRAM operates differently from asynchronous SRAM. For example, an asynchronous SRAM can start a read cycle with an address change. FCRAM, however, requires explicitly triggering each read cycle with CS# or OE signals. FCRAM also imposes timing requirements for write operations that differ significantly from those of conventional asynchronous SRAM.

[0013] Thus, the need remains for a memory device that completely hides refresh operations, and provides a pin-compatible substitute for conventional SRAM along with improved density and lower power requirements.

Summary of the Invention

[0014] The present invention includes improved methods, circuits and products that are especially useful to implement pin-compatible substitutes for conventional SRAM. One aspect of the invention is a novel refresh strategy that is completely hidden from the user interface; it imposes no special restrictions on access timing and the like. Rather, a semiconductor memory product that implements the new refresh strategy can be made to present an external interface that behaves just like conventional (asynchronous) SRAM. The user (or systems in which such a memory is deployed) can ignore refresh entirely; it is invisible. However, because the improved memory products leverage DRAM memory cells internally, they provide substantially greater density, and lower power consumption, than SRAM products.

[0015] The new refresh method is based on *prohibiting* the start of a refresh operation during certain periods (*i.e.*, under certain conditions), but otherwise *continuously* refreshing the array, rather than affirmatively *scheduling* refresh at certain times as in the prior art. In particular, refresh operations are ongoing continuously, driven by an internal clock that generates periodic refresh requests, *except* during specific periods when a read or write operation is actually accessing the memory array. In other words, the new scheme executes a refresh at any time (*i.e.*, whenever requested by a refresh generator), *except* during selected periods when the start of a refresh operation is prohibited. In this regard the refresh operates asynchronously. More specifically, according to the present invention, a period or “time slot” in which to *complete* a pending refresh operation is inserted *within every read and every write cycle*. In prior art, refresh is scheduled during periods when read and write accesses are prohibited. Thus, the present invention is characterized by essentially interleaving (external) memory accesses and refresh operations, rather than temporally segregating them as in prior art. This feature has the benefit of alleviating interface restrictions that characterize the prior art such as those described above.

[0016] According to another aspect of the invention, a single refresh operation refreshes only a limited number of rows of the memory array, specifically one row in

a presently preferred embodiment, whereas the prior art “auto refresh” cycle refreshes the entire array. The new, one-row refresh is fast; time to complete an individual row refresh is inserted during every access by “stretching” the read or write cycle. (A particular time slot may not actually be used to complete a refresh—as further explained later—but it is made available.) Note that a *time to complete* the pending refresh is provided because, as alluded to above, a refresh *starts* to execute immediately upon request, except during certain “refresh start prohibited” periods. The *start* of a refresh operation is prohibited only when a pending read/write array access must be completed. Otherwise, a refresh operation generally begins when initiated by the refresh circuit, and time to complete the refresh is always available by virtue of the “stretched” read and write cycles.

[0017] Another aspect of the invention calls for isolating the internal memory array from the data input/output (I/O) structures such as buffers and pins. In one presently preferred embodiment, this isolation is implemented using a latch (or latching register) between the sense amplifiers and the I/O structures. This enables segregating the array access (or simply “access”) time from the data I/O portions of a memory operation. A data input (sometimes called “datain”) operation or a data output operation (dataout), preceding a data write access or following a data read access, respectively, does not interfere with completion of a refresh access operation once the I/O structures are segregated from the array.

[0018] The method provided by this invention can be implemented asynchronously. As a result, a low-power asynchronous SRAM substitute device can be built using DRAM cells. Asynchronous SRAM, compared to its synchronous counterpart, consumes much lower power because it does not need a clock. DRAM cells provide power savings as well, and vastly higher density over SRAM.

[0019] Additional objects and advantages of this invention will be apparent from the following detailed description of preferred embodiments thereof which proceeds with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings

- [0020] FIG. 1A is a timing diagram illustrating prior art READ and WRITE memory access cycles.
- [0021] FIG. 1B is a timing diagram illustrating a prior art auto refresh signal.
- [0022] FIG. 2A is a timing diagram illustrating a read access cycle in accordance with the present invention to support asynchronous [interlaced] refresh operations.
- [0023] FIG. 2B is a timing diagram illustrating a WRITE access cycle in accordance with the present invention to support asynchronous [interlaced] refresh operations.
- [0024] FIG. 3A is a timing diagram illustrating memory system operation in accordance with the present invention in the case of an invalid READ operation terminated during the data output ("dataout") period.
- [0025] FIG. 3B is a timing diagram illustrating memory system operation in accordance with the present invention in the case of an invalid READ operation terminated during the array access period.
- [0026] FIG. 3C is a timing diagram illustrating memory system operation in accordance with the present invention in the case of an invalid READ operation terminated during a refresh period.
- [0027] FIG. 4A is a timing diagram illustrating memory system operation in accordance with the present invention in the case of a READ access following a WRITE operation.
- [0028] FIG. 4B is a timing diagram illustrating memory system operation in accordance with the present invention in the case of a low frequency READ cycle.
- [0029] FIG. 5 is a simplified block diagram of a hidden-refresh memory system according to the present invention.
- [0030] FIG. 6 is a simplified block diagram of a portion of the memory system of figure 5 illustrating isolation of the data input/output path from the array.
- [0031] FIG. 7A is a simplified block diagram of the refresh generator circuit of the memory system of figure 5.

[0032] FIG. 7B is a timing diagram illustrating refresh pulse signals provided by the refresh generator of figure 7A in various scenarios.

[0033] FIG. 8 is a schematic diagram of one illustrative implementation of the access arbiter circuit of figure 5.

[0034] FIG. 9 is a timing diagram illustrating operation of the arbiter circuit of figure 8 for a normal READ access.

[0035] FIG. 10 is a timing diagram illustrating operation of the arbiter circuit of figure 8 for an invalid READ access terminated within a specified interval "T" of starting.

[0036] FIG. 11 is a timing diagram illustrating operation of the arbiter circuit of figure 8 for an invalid READ access terminated within $2 \cdot T$ of starting.

[0037] FIG. 12 is a timing diagram illustrating a write cycle in the memory system of the type illustrated in figure 5.

[0038] FIG. 13 is a timing diagram illustrating a write cycle immediately followed by a READ cycle in the memory system of the type illustrated in figure 5.

Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiment

[0039] Preliminarily, the present invention includes the following key characteristics, which may be considered as more detail is described below:

[0040] 1. Refresh operations are completed within read and write cycles, as well as during an idle state. A portion of each read or write cycle is allocated for completion of a refresh operation.

[0041] 2. Refresh operations are triggered by an internal refresh generator circuit. A ring oscillator or the like periodically generates refresh requests. Each refresh request includes the address of a row to be refreshed.

[0042] 3. Each refresh request is queued until an access arbiter signals permission to start a refresh with an "ok2ref" (okay to start refresh) signal.

[0043] 4. The access arbiter examines external accesses and determines when it is okay to start a refresh. Essentially, the arbiter prohibits refresh during external access operations. But by isolating the array from the I/O structures, refresh can

proceed during I/O times. The access arbiter also sequences read and write operations such that they do not conflict with refresh operations and each other. The access arbiter also guarantees that each array access operation is completed once it is started. This method allows the construction of true asynchronous SRAM using DRAM cells by completely hiding DRAM refresh operations under any operating conditions.

[0044] We begin with a brief review of basic READ/WRITE timing in an asynchronous memory device in accordance with prior art. A typical memory read access cycle consists of two parts -- first reading the array, say for a duration of T , and then sending the read data to chip outputs, say for a duration of D . The total read access time (starting at address transition) therefore is $T+D$. This timing is illustrated in figure 1A where the read cycle begins with the address transition **100**, access time T is shown at **102** and data output time D is shown at **104**. A new operation can begin at the second address transition **106**. Conversely, a WRITE access cycle is shown at **110**, beginning in response to a write-bar ($W\#$) or select-bar ($S\#$) signal as is known. The time (datain) for receiving data from the chip inputs, D , is followed by an array access (write) time T . The write cycle duration is $D+T$. Figure 1B illustrates a prior art auto refresh signal **120**. The refresh signal (active low) is asserted at edge **122** and remains asserted for a period **124** during which all rows in the memory are refreshed. The next refresh occurs within a specified maximum refresh interval, typically around 64 msec for products presently available such as a four megabit DRAM.

[0045] One key aspect of the present invention calls for expanding the memory access time to $2T+D$. The additional period or "time slot" T is allocated for completion of a pending refresh operation (in case one is in progress -- there may be none). A refresh operation takes about the same amount of time as a read access, T . A refresh begins whenever requested, except during certain prohibited times, and adequate time to ensure completion of a refresh operation is allocated *within* external access cycles. Even continuous read operations at full speed do not interfere with timely refresh as will be shown below.

[0046] Figure 2A is a timing diagram illustrating a read access cycle according to the present invention. The cycle begins at an address transition **202**. By the term “access cycle” we mean an array access and an associated data-out operation (or data-in operation in the case of a write access cycle). Here, the total read access cycle time is expanded to $D+2T$. The array access portion is extended to 2 times T , while the data-out portion remains D . The additional time slot T is allocated to ensure that a refresh operation started before this read access cycle begins (**202**) will have sufficient time to complete. A refresh operation cannot begin during the period **204** “refresh start prohibited” as further explained later. The time slot “ T ” is configured to be the longest of the array read time, refresh time, and write time, and D **220** is set to the longer of data output path time and data input path time. This ensures that a pending refresh will complete as described.

[0047] In read and write cycles, “data-in” and “data-out” are performed outside the array. Therefore refresh can be performed in parallel with “datain” or “dataout.” However, refresh operation cannot overlap read or write “access” because they are both performed inside the array. As a result, a refresh operation is free to start any time except for “refresh” and “access” time slots, as indicated in the figure.

[0048] Figure 2B is a timing diagram illustrating a write access cycle according to the present invention. Preliminarily, the write control signal ($W\#$) or select signal ($S\#$) (or both) are asserted, typically active-low, as shown at falling edge **230**. The write data are held stable for a data-in period D , **232**, for the data to traverse the input circuits, column selection, and latch in the sense amps for driving the selected bit lines. This data-in period ends at edge **234** whereupon the array access period begins. Here, an extra time slot **236** is inserted before the usual array access time **238**. Refresh start is prohibited, *i.e.*, a refresh operation is not permitted to begin during the time indicated at **240**, consisting of the extra time slot **236** plus the usual array access time **238**. The extra time slot **236** ensures sufficient time for a pending refresh operation—one that began before edge **234**—to complete before the write data is written into the array cells.

[0049] Figure 3A is a timing diagram illustrating a memory system operation in the case of an invalid READ operation terminated during the data output period. The goal is to ensure that data stored in the array is not corrupted, and still allow refresh operations to proceed. In figure 3A, a read operation begins with the address transition **300**. At that point, a “refresh start prohibited” period **304** begins. An extra time slot **306** is inserted, as described above, to ensure sufficient time for a pending refresh operation to complete before the present read cycle is allowed access to the array. That time slot **306** is followed by the usual array access time slot **308**. As illustrated in the figure, refresh start is prohibited during the period consisting of **306** plus **308**. Normally, the data out operation would follow the access time slot **308**. In this case, the read operation was terminated prematurely by a new address transition **302**. This abbreviated data time slot **310** presumably was not long enough to complete the read cycle in accordance with applicable specifications. However, as described above, the present method calls for isolating the memory array from the data I/O structures and, during a read access cycle, storing the sensed data, for example in a latch circuit as illustrated in Figure 6. Accordingly, referring again to Figure 3A, the read data that was sensed during the array access time slot **308** has been stored by the time the new read cycle begins at **302**. At time **302**, a new read cycle is initiated as before. This cycle consists of the extra time slot **320** followed by the usual array access time slot **322** followed by the usual data out time slot **324**. As before, refresh start is prohibited during the first two of these time slots, as illustrated at **326**. Note that if a refresh request was pending, it would be permitted to begin during the time slot **310** and it would be assured adequate time to complete array access during the time slot **320**.

[0050] Figure 3B is a timing diagram illustrating operation of the memory system in the case of a read access cycle that is terminated during the array access time slot. In Figure 3B, a read operation begins at the address transition **330**. As before, an extra time slot **332** is inserted, effectively deferring access to the array for a time slot T. Access to the array, time slot T follows at **334**. However, the read access cycle is prematurely terminated by a new address transition **336**. The access that

began at 334 must be allowed to complete so that data is not corrupted. Accordingly, a forced delay 338 prohibits the start of a new read access cycle until after the access time slot 334 is concluded. Time slot 340 provides a window in which a refresh operation can begin. Such a refresh operation would have time to complete during the inserted time slot 342.

[0051] Referring next to Figure 3C, this timing diagram illustrates a read access cycle that is terminated during a refresh operation. The read access cycle begins at the address transition 350 but it is terminated prematurely by a second address transition 352, during the course of a refresh operation 354. In this scenario, the memory controller circuitry (described below) effectively forces a delay period indicated by the arrow 360 beginning at the second address transition 352. This delay prevents the start of a new access cycle until the pending refresh operation has time to complete, *i.e.*, the conclusion of time slot 354, plus an additional window 362 which would allow a new refresh operation to begin if one is pending. A new read (or write) access cycle can begin at any time after the forced delay 360 is concluded. To summarize, figures 3A, 3B and 3C illustrate operation in accordance with the present invention for each of the three possible invalid read conditions. In each case, data integrity is preserved and refresh operations are executed well within the necessary time constraints.

[0052] Next we consider a read cycle following a write cycle. Referring to figure 4A, the W# or S# control signal is shown at 400. The write operation comprises the data in time slot 402 followed by a refresh time slot 404 and the array access time slot 406 as described previously. In this figure, the rising edge 410 of the control signal 400 signifies the end of the write cycle and the beginning of a read cycle. In this case, access to the array must be delayed as indicated by arrow 412 in order for a pending refresh to complete 404. Thus time slot 414 is unused with respect to the read operation (no-op), as the write operation is accessing the array at that time. The read access immediately follows 414. Note that refresh start is prohibited 416 throughout this time.

[0053] Figure 4B illustrates operation of a low frequency read cycle. By “low frequency” we mean the cycle time is much longer than two times $T + D$. The read cycle begins as usual with an address transition **440**. At the beginning of the cycle, the refresh time slot **442** is inserted before the usual access time slot **444**, as above. Further, the refresh start is prohibited during this time as illustrated at **450**. After the access time slot **444** is concluded, the refresh start prohibited period **450** also is concluded, so that a refresh can begin at any time thereafter, as shown at **452**. So whenever the refresh generator circuit (discussed below with reference to Figure 5) requests a refresh, it will begin immediately. Note that the read data resulting from the access **444** is latched, as described above, so that it remains stable throughout the data out period **460** and is not disturbed by a subsequent refresh access to the array.

[0054] Additional refreshes will be executed as necessary until a new access cycle begins at the address transition **462**.

[0055] Figure 5 is a simplified block diagram of a hidden-refresh memory system according to the present invention. The memory system, which preferably would be implemented in a single integrated circuit product, comprises a controller **500** and one or more DRAM arrays **502**. Controller **500** comprises an access arbiter **510** and a refresh generator circuit **520**. Essentially, the controller block is added between the external pins and the DRAM array as compared to a conventional memory. The external pins pertinent here include the address bus **522**, the chip select bar **524** and write bar **526**. The address bus **522** in general will include a plurality of parallel signal lines as is well known. These external pins provide a standards SRAM interface so that the memory system described herein can be substituted for a conventional SRAM in virtually any application. The controller **500** generates a refresh address on a refresh address bus **530** refresh controller signal **532** read and write control signal **534**, **536** respectively and a read/write address on R/W address bus **540**. The data input/output path **550** does not impact the controller circuitry **500**. The controller circuitry is described in greater detail below.

[0056] Figure 6 is a simplified block diagram of a portion of the memory system of Figure 5 illustrating isolation of the data input/output path from the DRAM array.

In the controller **500**, the refresh generator circuit **520** generates internal refresh requests periodically, which are queued until released in response to a control signal from the access arbiter **510**. The access arbiter examines the external inputs just described and determines appropriate scheduling of refresh operations. These features are described in greater detail in the circuitry and timing diagrams described below.

[0057] In Figure 6, the refresh address **530** and the read/write address **540** are inputs to a multiplexor **600**. The selected address is input to a word line decoder circuit **610** which in turn asserts the selected row or word line in the DRAM array **612** in the conventional fashion. In Figure 6, the upper portion **620**, delineated by a dashed line, identifies the array access portion of the memory system, while the lower portion, delineated by a separate dashed box **630**, identifies the data input/output (“IO”) structures. In the array access portion **620** bit lines **622** are coupled to sense amplifiers **624** in the conventional manner. The present invention can be applied to various DRAM array configurations, including without limitation open bit lines, folded bit lines, folded and shared bit lines, and interleaved designs. The invention can also be used with twisted bit line structures and virtually any other DRAM design as the present invention requires no modifications in connection with the array and sense amps per se.

[0058] In the lower portion of Figure 6, the data IO structure **630** is modified from the conventional design by the addition of a latch **632**. Although only a single latch is illustrated here for simplicity, a latch or similar storage means for retaining the data provided by the sense amps would be provided for each bit or sense amp output. Such storage means could include any sort of latch, register, flip flop circuit or the like. It could be a FIFO or even SRAM. As explained above, its function is to retain the sense amp output data and hold it available to the data output path **636** so that it is not affected by a subsequent access to the DRAM array **612**. The data output path **636** generally would include column decoding and selection and IO drivers and buffers ultimately coupled to the data IO pads. Some of these IO structures may be modified or absent in an embedded application or SOC device

where the memory data lines need not be exposed externally. The latch **632** is controlled by the read signal **640** so that the latch is opened for a “flow through” mode only during a read access operation. After a read access operation is completed, the sensed data is stored in the latch **632** by assertion of the read control signal **640**. Subsequent memory array access operations can then occur without disturbing the data stored in the latch.

[0059] Figure 7A is a simplified block diagram showing an example of a refresh generator circuit (**520** in Figure 5). The refresh generator circuit generally comprises an oscillator **702**, a refresh address generator **710**, a FIFO memory **720**, and a refresh pulse generator **730**. The oscillator **702** can be implemented in a variety of ways which are known to electrical engineers, such as a ring oscillator circuit. For most applications the ocelots circuit should be chosen to provide low power consumption. Ocelots **702** generates the reference clock signal at **704**. This internal clock signal has an oscillation period corresponding to the DRAM array refresh interval. The reference clock signal **704** gates the refresh address generator **710** which, in turn, increments through the row address space. Its output is the refresh row address **530** mentioned above. Column address is unnecessary for the refresh generator circuitry because every column is refreshed in each refresh operation.

[0060] The FIFO buffer **720** generates and stores a refresh request as follows. The FIFO is written in response to the refresh clock signal **704**. For example, each cycle of the refresh clock **704** would write a “1” bit into the FIFO. The output of the FIFO triggers the refresh pulse generator **730** to generate a refresh pulse, corresponding to the refresh control signal **532**. The FIFO buffer **720** is read in response to the ok2ref **734** which is generated by the access arbiter (**510** in Figure 5). In other words, each assertion of the ok2ref signal **734** clocks a bit out of the FIFO **720** to the refresh pulse generator **730**. Typically, only one bit needs to be buffered in the FIFO **720**, so it could be implemented in various ways like a flip flop circuit.

[0061] Figure 7B is a timing diagram illustrating the refresh control signal **532** provided by the refresh pulse generator **730**. In Figure 7B, the refresh control signal **520** has a period not to exceed the array refresh interval, for example 64 milliseconds,

divided by the number of rows in the array. This is to ensure that every row is refreshed at least as often as the refresh interval.

[0062] Figure 8 is a schematic diagram illustrating one example of an implementation of the access arbiter (**510** in Figure 5). Each of the circuit components in Figure 8 is well known, individually, so they will not be described in detail. One element that is presented in a symbolic form is the “falling edge triggered pulse generator,” for example **810** and **820**. This can be implemented in various ways which will be apparent to an electrical engineer. This circuit element outputs a high going pulse of a predetermined duration T in response to the falling edge at the input. The symbol T again is used to refer to the memory array access time. The circuitry of Figure 8 is best understood by describing its operation with further reference to the timing diagram of Figure 9. Figure 9 is a timing diagram illustrating operation of the access arbiter for a normal read access. In Figure 9, the address bus **900** exhibits a transition **902** to initiate a normal read access cycle. An address transition detector **802** detects the transition and asserts a pulse **904** on a signal *atd*. The signal *atd2* presents address transitions that the memory array is allowed to see. (In other words, some address transitions will be hidden, or delayed, relative to the array.) Thus *atd2* is a modified version of *atd*. The falling edge **906** of *atd2* triggers a first falling edge triggered pulse generator (“FETPG”) **810** which in turn generates a pulse (**910** in Figure 9) having a duration T , on the signal called “*bzrefrd*.” The signal *bzrefrd* indicates the period of time a refresh preceding a read access can occur. This signal prevents the array from seeing an address transition. This signal will be used to effectively insert an extra access time slot T as described previously with respect to the normal read access cycle with reference to Figure 2A. As appears in Figure 2A, the array is prevented from seeing the address transition **202** until the beginning of the time slot **210**.

[0063] Referring once again to Figure 8, the external write control signal **526** and select control signal **524** are decoded to form a read mode signal **822** and a write mode signal **824**. The *atd2* signal provides a data input to flip flop **826** which in turn generates the “*ok2rd*” okay to read signal **828**. *Ok2rd* indicates that the read address

has not changed, thus allowing the read operation to proceed. The reader may recall that operation in the event of a premature address transition (an invalid read operation) was discussed above with referenced to Figures 3A through 3C. The signal **bzrefwt** in Figure 8 is a high going pulse of duration **T** indicating the period of time a refresh preceding a write can occur. This signal prevents the array from seeing an address transition. This implements the feature illustrated in Figure 2B where a refresh time slot **236** is inserted at the beginning of a write access cycle before the array access in time slot **238**. And to complete definition of the signal names in Figure 8, the signal **notbz** (“not busy”) is asserted when neither **bzrefrd** nor **bzrefwt** nor **read** is high, indicating that the array is allowed to see the address transition. (The read signal **534** is not to be confused with the readmode signal **822**.) Operation of the access arbiter in the various modes of interest is described next.

[0064] In the normal read mode, the timing diagram is shown in Figure 9. Here, the signal **W#** is a logic 1, **S#** is a logic 0, “readmode” is a 1 and “writemode” is 0. A change in the address bus **A** of address bus **900** triggers the start of a read operation by asserting the **atd** pulse **904**. The following **R/S** latch **806** generates “**atd2**” which again is the address transitions that are exposed to the array. In normal read mode, the array is allowed to see all address transitions. Therefore **atd2** is nearly identical to **atd** in normal read mode.

[0065] At the falling edge **912** of **atd2**, a high going pulse of duration **T**, “**bzrefrd**” is generated. This is the period of time reserved for a refresh operation to complete. The following edge **914** of **bzrefrd** triggers the read pulse **920**, as the gating signal **ok2rd** (**828**) is high during the normal read operation. This signal generally stays high in every normal read cycle, as indicated at **922**, thus allowing refresh requests to be serviced as required.

[0066] In one case of an invalid read, a second address transition terminates the read operation within a time **T**, *i.e.*, before the read cycle time has elapsed. The first read becomes an invalid read. This situation is illustrated in the timing diagram of figure 10. In figure 10, a first address transition **1002** commences a read operation but a second address transition **1004** starts a new read operation before the first one

has completed. The second address transition generates a second pulse **1006** on the atd signal. Because the second “atd” pulse overlaps with “bzrefrd,” the array is not allowed to see a falling edge of atd2 until bzrefrd pulse is completed. The R/S latch (**806** in Figure 8) generates the desired atd2 — the falling edge of the second pulse is stretched until the bzrefrd pulse is over, illustrated at **1010**. This “stretched” atd2 ensures that the second bzrefrd pulse does not overlap the first. The overlap of atd and bzrefrd is detected by ok2rd which prevents the first “read” pulse from occurring. Consequently, the array will not see the first (invalid) read command. Rather, if the second read is a normal read operation, the read signal will be asserted as shown at **1020**.

[0067] Next, we describe operation of the memory system, and especially the hidden refresh aspects, in the case where a read operation is terminated within $2 \cdot T$ of starting. Referring now to figure 11, the second address transition **1102** generates a second pulse **1104** on “atd.” Because the second “atd” pulse overlaps with “read,” the array is not allowed to see a falling edge of “atd2” until “read” pulse is over **1110**. The R/S latch generates the desired “atd2” -- the falling edge of the second pulse is delayed until the “read” pulse is over **1112**. The stretched atd2 ensures that the second “bzrefrd” pulse **1120** does not overlap “read.” If invalid reads occur repeatedly, “ok2ref” pulses high once every read cycle, allowing refresh requests to be serviced.

[0068] Figure 12 is a timing diagram illustrating a write cycle. Asynchronous SRAM does not allow invalid write cycles. Therefore we consider only valid write cycles, where the write pulse width is at least the specified write cycle time. The timing diagram of Figure 12 shows a W#-controlled write. The falling edge **1210** of “writemode” triggers a “bzrefwt” pulse **1214** of duration T. The falling edge of “bzrefwt” in turn triggers a “write” pulse **1220** of duration T. The internal signal “ok2ref” is high except during “bzrefwt” and “write” pulses. If write cycles occur repeatedly, “ok2ref” pulses high once every write cycle, allowing refresh requests to be serviced.

[0069] If a read cycle immediately follows a write cycle, as shown in the timing diagram of figure 13, the write occurs normally as described in the previous section. Internal signal “atd2” is held high during the write cycle. The falling edge of “bzrefwt” triggers a falling edge on “atd2.” The read operation occurs normally afterwards. Further details will be apparent to the reader in view of the previous drawing figures and associated description.

[0070] One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the specific circuitry shown, for example in figures 5, 7A and 8, is merely provided to more fully describe the hidden refresh concept. The concept can be implemented in many different ways, using various types of circuitry, of which the circuits shown and described herein are merely one example.

[0071] It will be obvious to those having skill in the art that many changes may be made to the details of the above-described embodiment of this invention without departing from the underlying principles thereof. The scope of the present invention should, therefore, be determined only by the following claims.